

"Anti-Inflammatory Rescue": Is it Time to Abandon SABAs Alone?

Nancy Lange-Vaidya MD MPH Partners Asthma Center Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School

Disclosures

• Author, UpToDate

Abbreviations

- ICS: inhaled corticosteroids
- LABA: long-acting beta agonist
 - Formoterol, salmeterol, vilanterol
- SABA: short-acting beta agonist
 - albuterol, terbutaline, salbutamol
- FABA: FAST-acting beta agonist
 - Any SABA or formoterol
- **SMART:** Single Maintenance and Reliever Therapy
- **AIR**: Anti-Inflammatory Rescue

Outline

- Benefits of SABA as rescue
- Disadvantages of SABA as rescue
- Benefits of AIR "anti-inflammatory rescue"
- Disadvantages of AIR "anti-inflammatory rescue"

Asthma Burden

- Over 260 million worldwide
 - In US Adults: 20 million; 8% (2021)
 - In US Children: 5.1 million; 6.5%
- Health care utilization
 - 5.8 million physician office visits
 - 1.2 million emergency department visits
 - 40% with asthma report asthma "attack" in last year
- Deaths
 - >400,000 worldwide; in US >4,000 (2020)
 - 1.3 per 100,000 population

who.int cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/asthma.htm Aafa.org/asthma/asthma-facts



Goals of asthma treatment

- Reduce mortality
- Reduce exacerbations:
 - hospitalizations / ED / UC / systemic steroids
- Reduce symptoms, interference with normal life / activity
 - Improve quality of life
- Minimize side effects of treatment









Part I: Benefits of SABA rescue





Beta agonist: mechanism of action



Goals of asthma treatment

- Reduce mortality
- Reduce exacerbations:
 - hospitalizations / ED / UC / systemic steroids
- **Reduce symptoms**, interference with normal life / activity
 - Improve quality of life
- Minimize side effects of treatment









Part II: Disadvantages of SABA as rescue



Disadvantages of SABA as rescue

Physiology

- Tolerance
- Reduced bronchodilator response
- Increased airway hyperresponsiveness
- Increased eosinophilic inflammation

Epidemiology

- Exacerbations
- Death

AM and PM Peak flow with SABA treatment



Hancox Resp Med 2000

Increased AHR and tolerance

- Increased sensitivity to allergen provocation (PC20 reduced by 2 doubling doses)
 - Possibly due to enhanced mast cell mediator release due to beta2 receptor down-regulation
- **Reduced protective effect** after allergen / methacholine
- NO change in:
 - Baseline FEV₁, BD response, methacholine responsiveness

Effects of Terbutaline and Budesonide on Sputum Cells and Bronchial Hyperresponsiveness In Asthma FEV₁, PD15 saline, sputum eosinophils





Figure 2. Treatment effects for FEV_1 . Data are displayed as mean (*square symbol*) with error bars representing the least significant difference at the 5% level.

Figure 3. Treatment effects for PD_{15} saline. Data are displayed as geometric mean (*square symbol*) with error bars representing the least significant difference at the 5% level.



Figure 5. Treatment effect on % sputum eosinophils. Data are displayed as median with interquartile range, 10th and 90th percentiles, and outliers. * P = significantly different from placebo, * T = significantly different from terbutaline, * B = significantly different from budesonide, * C = significantly different from combined treatment, p < 0.05.

Investigation into Use of Drugs Preceding Death from Asthma

F. E. SPEIZER,* M.D.; R. DOLL, + M.D., F.R.C.P., F.R.S.; P. HEAF, + M.D., F.R.C.P.; L. B. STRANG, M.D., F.R.C.P.

Brit. mea. J., 1908, 1, 339-343	Thorax 1991;46:105–111	Prescribed fenoterol and death from asthma in New Zealand, 1981–7: a further case-control study J Grainger, K Woodman, N Pearce, J Crane, C Burgess, A Keane, R Beasley			
]	The New England ournal of Medicine		
			©Copyright, 1992, by the Massachusetts Medical Society		
		Volume 326	FEBRUARY 20, 1992	Number 8	
		THE USE OF β-A Walter C Ralph I. Jean-Fra	GONISTS AND THE RISK OF DEATH AND NEAR DEATH FRO . Spitzer, M.D., M.P.H., Samy Suissa, Ph.D., Pierre Ernst, M.D., M Horwitz, M.D., Brian Habbick, M.B., Ch.B., Donald Cockcroft, M nçois Boivin, M.D., Sc.D., Mary McNutt, M.Sc., A. Sonia Buist, M.	EATH FROM ASTHMA st, M.D., M.Sc., ckcroft, M.D., a Buist, M.D.,	

Another "SMART"



FIGURE 2. Occurrence of asthma-related deaths by phase and study year.

Nelson SMART Chest 2006

Asthma mortality rates worldwide





Ebmeier Lancet 2017

SABINA program: SABA use IN Asthma

- Capture current burden of SABA use globally
- Describe SABA prescription patterns, extent of high SABA use
- Impact on asthma-related outcomes
- Examine in diverse asthma management practices and health care systems
- SABINA 1:
 - Retrospective observational study in 1 country (UK)
- SABINA 2:
 - Retrospective observational study in 8 countries (Eur, N Am)
- SABINA 3:
 - 25 countries

SABINA program

- \geq 12 years of age
- Current asthma diagnosis
- Severity characterized by step (GINA/BTS) based on treatment prescribed during baseline year
- SABA pattern during 12m before index date
- Categorized by SABA canisters/year in baseline year:
 - 0-2, 3-5, 6-10, ≧11 canisters/year
- High SABA use defined as \geq 3 canisters per year
 - Based on GINA and BTS (British Thoracic Society) guidelines
 - 2 uses per week (2 puffs each=4 puffs total) over 1 year = 2 canisters / year
- Outcomes
 - Exacerbations: OCS, ED, hospitalization
 - Death

Notably: funded by AstraZeneca (budesonide/formoterol i.e. Symbicort)

SABINA: description of SABA use

- >1,000,000 patients in 5 countries (UK, Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden)
- Overuse (≧ 3canisters/year) prevalence
 - 9% to 38% depending on country
 - In UK: more common in modsevere asthma than mild (58% vs 27%)
 - Other countries, similar among severity groups



SABINA 1: UK

- 575,000 patients
- 38% high SABA use
 - ≧ 3canisters/year
- High use associated with

 - \uparrow health care utilization

After multivariable adjustment and at all levels of severity



SABINA (Sweden): exacerbations



FIGURE 2 Associations between baseline short-acting β_2 -agonist (SABA) use and treatment step and subsequent risk of asthma exacerbation. Adjusted for age at asthma diagnosis, sex, treatment step and comorbidity. ≤ 2 canisters: patients collecting two or fewer SABA canisters during the baseline year; ≥ 3 canisters: patients collecting three or more SABA canisters during the baseline year; HR: hazard ratio.

SABINA (Sweden): Exacerbation rates: with or without ICS



No ICS

ICS

SABINA (Sweden): mortality

- Overall mortality ~2500 or 0.9%
 - 0.54% (1365) 0-2 canisters vs 1.07% (1199) in overusers
- Increased all-cause (figure) and asthma-specific mortality



 $\exists \forall URE 4 \text{ Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival by baseline short-acting } \beta_2$ -agonist (SABA) use.

SABINA (Sweden): mortality

Asthma-related and other causes

TABLE 3 Cause of death among asthma patients by use of short-acting β_2 -agonists (SABA) during baseline year

	provide the second second provide second sec			
	0-2	3–5	6-10	≥11
Subjects	254 500	76619	27065	7140
Vital status				
Alive	253 135 (99.5)	76011 (99.2)	26 690 (98.6)	6924 (97.0)
Dead	1365 (0.5)	608 (0.8)	375 (1.4)	216 (3.0)
Cause of death				
Suicide	199 (14.6)	82 (13.5)	48 (12.8)	19 (8.8)
Cardiovascular related	178 (13.1)	91 (15.0)	50 (13.3)	28 (13.0)
Poisoning by accident	131 (9.6)	52 (8.6)	42 (11.2)	18 (8.3)
Respiratory related	43 (3.2)	19 (3.1)	20 (5.3)	18 (8.3)
Asthma related	7 (0.5)	4 (0.7)	5 (1.3)	12 (5.6)
Malignancy related	196 (14.4)	68 (11.2)	28 (7.5)	18 (8.3)
Other	618 (45.3)	296 (48.7)	187 (49,9)	115 (53.2)

SABA canisters collected during the baseline year n

Data are presented as n or n (%).

SABINA III (SABA use IN Asthma)



FIGURE 1 Patient enrolment across countries in SABINA III. UAE: United Arab Emirates.

Bateman ERJ 2022

SABA and symptom control



SABA and exacerbations



"Regardless of whether there is a **causal** effect of SABA use and these adverse effects, or if they are mainly **a marker for more severe asthma** and/or a **reflection of the frailty of the patients, increased use of SABA should alert clinicians to monitor these patients more closely**"



Bateman ERJ 2022

Part III: Benefits of AIR

ICS and asthma mortality



Goals of asthma treatment

- Reduce mortality
- Reduce exacerbations:
 - hospitalizations / ED / UC / systemic steroids
- Reduce symptoms, interference with normal life / activity
 - Improve quality of life
- Minimize side effects of treatment









Adherence



ECRHS ASTHMA TREATMENT COMPLIANCE RESULTS

Fig. 2. – Prevalence (%) (\blacklozenge) (95% confidence intervals (CI)) (—) of compliance between subjects with indications for treatment by country. A prevalence significantly different from the median is present when the 95% CI does not fit the vertical line of the median value.

Cerveri ERJ 1999

Patient behavior: INSPIRE study



n=3415

Figure 4

Patient compliance with their regular maintenance medication when feeling well and during asthma worsenings. Definitions were as follows: Compliant Minus: using less maintenance medication than prescribed; Compliant: using maintenance medication as prescribed; Compliant Plus: using more maintenance medication than prescribed.

Asthma: inflammatory, intermittent

- Triggers are unpredictable
 - Viruses
 - Pollens
 - Pollution
- Oral steroids: ~ 4–5 lifetime courses
 - ↑ risk osteoporosis, diabetes, cataract







Solution: Give them at the same time!



SMART approach: persistent asthma



O'Byrne AJRCCM 2005

SMART vs various therapies, all SABA as reliever



JAMA | Original Investigation

Association of Inhaled Corticosteroids and Long-Acting β-Agonists as Controller and Quick Relief Therapy With Exacerbations and Symptom Control in Persistent Asthma A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

- Meta-analysis 16 RCTs; Persistent asthma (mild, moderate, severe)
- 22,000 patients
- SMART vs.
 - ICS + SABA
 - ICS/LABA +SABA
- Lower risk of exacerbations
 - ED, hospitalizations, oral steroids \geq 3 days
- No significant associations:
 - ACQ-5, FEV1, mortality

Mild asthma: ICS/LABA prn vs SABA alone

- 6 studies included, ~10,000 participants
 - SYGMA 1+ 2, PRACTICAL, NovelSTART
- FABA prn vs ICS/FABA prn
 - Reduced exacerbations by 50% (high certainty)
 - Reduced ED/UC/hospital admissions (lower certainty)
 - May reduce total systemic steroid dose
 - No difference: symptoms, spirometry, QOL, mortality





Mild: ICS bid vs ICS/FABA prn

- ICS + prn FABA vs ICS/FABA prn
 - No clear difference in exacerbations
 - Possibly lower ED/UC/hospital admission
 - Reduced average daily steroid exposure
 - No difference: symptom control, spirometry, PF, QOL, total systemic steroid dose



Barriers to SMART or ICS/LABA prn approach

• Must be ICS-formoterol



MANDALA trial

- ICS/SABA vs SABA as rescue
- Decreased exacerbations
- Annual dose of systemic steroids 50% higher in SABA alone group





Airs	upra (PT027) approved in the US for asthma	
	albuterol/budesonide (160mcg)	
PUBLISHED 11 January 2023		

ICS/SABA approved by FDA for use as rescue inhaler in people 18+

PREPARE: Person EmPowered Asthma RElief trial

- Rescue:
 - SABA vs ICS at time of SABA (MDI or neb)
- Decreased exacerbation rates
- Improved asthma control
- Fewer lost work / school days
- Less overall use of rescue medication



Figure 1. Mean Cumulative Number of Severe Asthma Exacerbations per Participant over Time, with Adjusted Hazard Ratio.

Shown are the mean cumulative numbers of severe asthma exacerbations per participant over time. Participants in the intervention group received patient-activated, reliever-triggered inhaled glucocorticoid in addition to usual care. Differences in treatment-group hazards were compared with the use of the Andersen–Gill model with adjustment for prespecified covariates.

MANDALA and PREPARE trials: What do they add?

- Have a new FDA approved reliever inhaler (ICS/SABA)
- No need to change baseline controller medication
- Not required to have ICS-formoterol to implement "AIR"
- Can be used for patients who prefer nebulized therapy
 - not included in prior trials
- Easy to implement
 - 1 time instructional meeting was effective
- Shown to be beneficial in groups disproportionately burdened with asthma morbidity

Principle is the same: BROWNIES!

AIR: Use ICS at times of increased symptoms / need for rescue inhaler

- SMART: Single Maintenance And Reliever Therapy
 - simpler since one overall inhaler
 - BUT restricted to formoterol-containing
 - financial, insurance coverage, change of controller regimen
- MANDALA: ICS/SABA prn
 - Similar to current controller + rescue idea
 - No need to change maintenance therapy (if contains other LABA)
 - BUT 2 different inhalers, approval for NEW inhaler
- PREPARE:
 - improved flexibility, use additional ICS when you need a nebulizer OR MDI for rescue
 - BUT 2 different medications for rescue along with controller

OTC ICS/LABA

 Model of OTC budesonide-formoterol as rescue vs OTC epinephrine vs no OTC options

- 12,495 fewer deaths
- 14 million severe exacerbations
- \$68 billion saved

Primatene Mist Epinephrine Inhalation Aerosol, 0.125mg per spray



\$64.98 / oz. Prices may vary from online to in store	
Stock at my store	
Check more stores >	
How to get it	
₽	
Pickup	Shipping
Check more stores >	
Eligible for 1 to 4 day shipping	Ships Free with \$35+ orders
Shipping details >	
Limit 15 per order Quantity	
1 V Add for shi	ipping

Goals of asthma treatment

- \checkmark Reduce mortality
 - / Reduce exacerbations:
 - hospitalizations / ED / UC / systemic steroids
- \checkmark Reduce symptoms, interference with normal life / activity
 - Improve quality of life
- \checkmark Minimize side effects of treatment









Part IV: Disadvantages of AIR

HPA suppression by ICS





FIG. 2. Effect of placebo, fluticasone propionate (*FP*; 100 and 500 μg twice daily), triamcinolone acetonide (*TAA*; 300 and 500 μg twice daily), and prednisone (*Pred*; 10 mg daily) on plasma cortisol levels in asthma patients (data on file, Glaxo Wellcome).

ICS risks

- Infection (pneumonia)
- Oral thrush
- Hoarseness
- Cost ..?
- Growth in children
- HPA suppression



Non "type 2 high" asthma and ICS

	Eos <3%	Eos ≽3%
Number	9	14
Age (years)	53	45
Male	5	11
Atopy	2	8
Current smoker	3	1
ΔFEV_{s} (mL)	100 (-193 to 394)	142 (-5 to 289)
ΔSymptom VAS (mm)	-0.7 (15.4 to -16.8)	-24.4 (-12.5 to -36.3)
∆PEF amplitude % mean	-3.2 (4.3 to -10.7)	-7.0 (-2.5 to -11.6)
ΔPC ₂₀ (doubling doses)	0 (-1·2 to 1·2)	2.1 (1.3 to 3.0)
Decrease sputum eos (fold)	1.6 (0.98 to 2.7)	7.1 (3.7 to 13.5)

Patient details with mean (95% CI) change in measures after treatment with budesonide in those stratified according to sputum eosinophil (eos) count

Pavord Lancet 1999 Woodruff AJRCCM 2009





- Overall steroid dose
- Symptoms

Thank You!

